

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1485 of 1985

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : YES
to see the judgements?

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

BALABEN B PAREKH

Versus

HASTIKANT H BUCH

Appearance:

MR ASHOK L SHAH for Petitioner

MR BHARAT J SHELAT for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 18/02/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. This is a revision u/s 29[2] of the Bombay Rent
Act at the instance of the original defendant - tenant.

2. During the pendency of the present revision, by
virtue of the ad interim order passed on 6th November

1985, the possession of the petitioner - tenant had been protected. However, learned counsel for the petitioner tenant makes a statement today that the petitioner tenant has expired [at the age of 99 years], and her only son and legal heir has also expired thereafter, and that as per the oral understanding between the parties, possession of the suit premises has been handed over to the respondent - landlord.

3. In the premises aforesaid, no further orders are necessary in the present civil revision application and the same is accordingly disposed of. Rule is discharged with no orders as to costs. Ad interim order stands vacated.

parmar*